arXiv:1702.06170v1 [math.NT] 20 Feb 2017

LIMIT MULTIPLICITIES FOR $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ IN $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r_2})$

JASMIN MATZ

Abstract. We prove that the family of non-cocompact non-commensurable lattices $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$, F running over number fields with fixed archimedean signature (r_1, r_2), in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r_2})$ has the limit multiplicity property.

Contents

1. Geometric limit multiplicity property 3
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Auxiliary results on contributing elements 7
4. The regular elliptic contribution 11
5. The regular split contribution 14
6. The unipotent contribution 17
7. Proof of Lemma 5 and Proposition 4 19
8. Spectral limit multiplicity property 21
References 24

Let G be a semisimple Lie group and $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of lattices in G. Let $\widehat{G}$ denote the unitary dual of G and μ_{Pl} the Plancherel measure on $\widehat{G}$. Each lattice Γ_j defines a measure μ_j on $\widehat{G}$ via

$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_j\backslash G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} m_{\Gamma_j}(\pi)\delta_\pi$$

where $m_{\Gamma_j}(\pi)$ denotes the multiplicity of π in $L^2(\Gamma_j\backslash G)$ and δ the Dirac measure on $\widehat{G}$ at π. If $\{\Gamma_j\}_j$ is a sequence with $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_j\backslash G) \longrightarrow \infty$, a natural question is whether $\mu_j \longrightarrow \mu_{Pl}$ as $j \to \infty$. This questions has been studied in many situations if the lattices are cocompact in G or if G has real or complex rank one, cf. [dGW78, Del86, Sav89, Wal90, DH99, ABB$^+$17, Rai, Fra]. For $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ and $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ and the family of congruence subgroups the limit multiplicity problem was recently solved in [FLM15, FL15] (see also [FLM15, § 1] and [ABB$^+$17, § 1] for a more detailed survey of related results).

The purpose of this paper is to consider certain families of non-cocompact non-commensurable lattices in certain Lie groups naturally arising from number fields. More precisely, let $d \geq 2$, and fix an archimedean signature $\underline{r} = (r_1, r_2)$ of number fields of degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is, r_1 denotes the number of real places and r_2 the number of pairs of complex places so that $r_1 + 2r_2 = d$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ be the set

Partially supported by NSF under grant No. DMS-0932078 while in residence at the MSRI in 2014.

of all number fields of signature $\underline{r}$. For every $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we fix an isomorphism $F_\infty = F \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r_2} =: \mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$ (as $\mathbb{R}$-algebras), and identify all F_∞ with each other via these isomorphisms. This also fixes embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$. Then

$$\Gamma_F := \mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \subseteq \mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$$

defines a family of non-commensurable non-cocompact lattices in $\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$ with $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_F \backslash G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})) \longrightarrow \infty$ as F varies over $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$. Here $\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq F$ denotes the ring of integers in F. The same is true if we replace PSL_n by PGL_n.

Now let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ and let $\Gamma_F = G(\mathcal{O}_F) \subseteq G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$. Let

$$\mu_{\Gamma_F} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_F \backslash G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}))} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}} m_\Gamma(\pi) \delta_\pi.$$

Let μ_{Pl} denote the Plancherel measure on $G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$. Then $\{\Gamma_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}}$ is said to satisfy the limit multiplicity property if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the following two conditions hold (cf. [FLM15]):

(I) For every measurable set $A \subseteq \widehat{G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}_{\mathrm{temp}}$ with $\mu_{\mathrm{Pl}}(\partial A) = 0$ we have $|\mu_{\Gamma_F}(A) - \mu_{\mathrm{Pl}}(A)| < \varepsilon$ for all but finitely many $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$.

(II) For every measurable $A \subseteq \widehat{G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})} \backslash \widehat{G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}_{\mathrm{temp}}$ we have $\mu_{\Gamma_F}(A) < \varepsilon$ for all but finitely many $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *If $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2)$ or $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2)$, the family of lattices $\{\Gamma_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}}$ has the limit multiplicity property.*

To show that $\{\Gamma_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}}$ has the limit multiplicity property, it suffices by Sauvageot's density principle [Sau97], [Shi12] to show that for every $h \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1)$ we have

$$\mu_{\Gamma_F}(\hat{h}) \longrightarrow \mu_{\mathrm{Pl}}(\hat{h}) = h(1) \tag{1}$$

as F varies over $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$. Here $\mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1)$ denotes the algebra of all smooth compactly supported functions on $G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1$ which are left and right $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$-finite, $\hat{h}(\pi) = \mathrm{tr}\, h(\pi)$, $\pi \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}$, and $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}} \subseteq G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1$ is the maximal compact subgroup defined in Section 2 below.

To prove (1) we switch to the adelic formulation: Let $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}$ denote the finite part of $\mathbb{A}_F$, and let $\mathbf{K}_f^F = \prod_{v<\infty} \mathbf{K}_v^F \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ be the usual maximal compact subgroup, that is, $\mathbf{K}_v^F = G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ for $\mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ the ring of integers in the local field F_v. Then

$$\Gamma_F \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}) \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) / \mathbf{K}_f^F,$$

and the measure μ_{Γ_F} on $\widehat{\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}$ becomes

$$\mu_{\Gamma_F} = \frac{1}{\nu_F} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)}} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \left(\pi, L^2(\mathrm{PSL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) / \mathbf{K}_f^F)\right)\ \delta_{\pi_\infty},$$

where $\nu_F = \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{PSL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}) / \mathbf{K}_f^F)$. Hence (1) translates into a problem involving the trace formula, since

$$\mu_{\Gamma_F}(\hat{h}) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{PSL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))} J^F_{\mathrm{disc}}(h \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}) \tag{2}$$

for $J^F_{\mathrm{disc}}(h \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})$ the contribution from the discrete spectrum to the spectral side $J^F_{\mathrm{spec}}(h \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})$ of Arthur's trace formula for PSL(2) over F. Here $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}$ denotes the characteristic function of $\mathbf{K}_f^F$ on $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$.

We then use that the spectral side J^F_{spec} equals the geometric side J^F_{geom} and that $h(1)$ constitutes the main term on the geometric side. More precisely, we are going to show that the family of lattices has the geometric limit multiplicity property, in the sense of Proposition 3, and the spectral limit multiplicity property, in the sense of Proposition 21.

Similarly, the problem for PGL(2) can be translated into adelic setting, although the adelic setting $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)\backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})/\mathbf{K}_f^F$ equals the disjoint union of h_F-many copies of $\Gamma_F \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$ with h_F being the class number of F.

Remark 2. Combining or approach with methods from [FLM15], it should be possible to show that limit multiplicity holds for any sequence of lattices $\{\Gamma_{F_n}(\mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\Gamma_{F_n}(\mathfrak{n}_n) := \{g \in G(\mathcal{O}_{F_n}) \mid \gamma \equiv \mathrm{id} \mod \mathfrak{n}_n\} \subseteq G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$$

with $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ and ideals $\mathfrak{n}_n \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{F_n}$ such that $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_{F_n}(\mathfrak{n}_n)\backslash G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \infty$.

1. Geometric limit multiplicity property

Let $n = 2$ and $G \in \{\mathrm{PSL}(2), \mathrm{PGL}(2), \mathrm{GL}(2), \mathrm{SL}(2)\}$. We first show that the family $\{\Gamma_F\}_{F\in\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}}$ has the geometric limit property in $G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$ in the following sense:

Proposition 3. *For $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ let J^F_{geom} denote the geometric side of Arthur's trace formula for G over F. Let $\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq F$ be the ring of integers of F, $\mathbf{K}_f^F = G(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}) \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ the usual maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$, and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F} \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}))$ the characteristic function of $\mathbf{K}_f^F$.*

Then for every $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1)$ we have

$$\lim_{F\in\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}} \left(\frac{J^F_{\mathrm{geom}}(f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} - \sum_{z\in Z(F)} (f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})(z) \right) = 0.$$

In particular, if $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2)$ or $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2)$, then for every $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}))$,

$$\lim_{F\in\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}} \frac{J^F_{\mathrm{geom}}(f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} = f_\infty(1).$$

In fact, we shall prove the following:

Proposition 4. *For every $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have*

$$\left| \frac{J^F_{\mathrm{geom}}(f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} - \sum_{z\in Z(F)} (f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})(z) \right| \leq c D_F^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}. \tag{3}$$

We fix $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1)$ with $f_\infty \geq 0$ and write $f_F = f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}$ from now on; see Section 3.1 for more details on the test functions. Recall from [Art05] that $J^F_{\mathrm{geom}}(f_F)$ is the value at $T = 0$ of a polynomial $J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}}(f_F)$ of degree 1 in $T \in \mathfrak{a}$,

where $\mathfrak{a}$ and the remaining notation is defined in Section 2.2 below. Let $J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$ denote $J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}}(f_F)$ minus the central contribution, that is,

$$J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f) = J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}}(f) - \mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)f(1).$$

As in Arthur's work we introduce auxiliary functions

$$j^{F,T}(f_F) = \int_{G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} F(x,T) \sum_{\gamma\in G(F)} f_F(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx, \tag{4}$$

and

$$j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F) = \int_{G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} F(x,T) \sum_{\gamma\in G(F)\backslash Z(F)} f_F(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx \tag{5}$$

for T sufficiently regular. Here the measure on $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$ is normalized as explained in Section 2.2 below, and $F(\cdot,T) : G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the truncation function as defined in [Art78, §6]. More precisely, $F(\cdot,T)$ equals the characteristic function of all $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$ satisfying for all $\delta \in G(F)$

$$\langle \varpi, H_0(\delta g) - T\rangle \leq 0$$

where α denotes the root for GL(2) with respect to the diagonal torus and ϖ is the coroot dual to α (hence $\varpi = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$ in this case). Note that the property of being "sufficiently regular" depends on F as will be recalled in Section 2 below. Arthur shows that the distribution $J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}}(f_F)$ (resp. $J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$) can be approximated by $j^{F,T}(f_F)$ (resp. $j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F)$) exponentially good in T. We need to make this approximation explicit with respect to the field F, see Lemma 20.

The main step in proving the geometric limit multiplicity is the following:

Lemma 5. *For every $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}^r)^1)$ there exists constants $\rho, c > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have*

$$\frac{j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq cD_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log D_F)^{2d}\varpi(T) \tag{6}$$

for all $T \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $\alpha(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$.

Proposition 4 will then follow from this lemma by an interpolation argument for polynomials. We shall prove this lemma as well as the propositions above in Section 7. Sections 2–6 contain auxiliary results for the proof of Lemma 5. The arguments are in general the same for all the groups considered so that we treat all cases at once unless stated otherwise.

Remark 6. We prove this lemma for SL(2) and GL(2) only since these two groups are more suitable for matrix calculations than PSL(2) or PGL(2). The lemma for PSL(2) and PGL(2) then readily follow from this and the definition of $j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}$.

Remark 7. For GL(2) a more direct approach via the explicit expansion of the geometric side of the trace formula could be used (see, e.g., [GJ79]). However, for SL(2) it seems easier to use the distribution $j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F)$. Moreover, this approach seems more suitable for generalizations to higher rank, though this has not been successful so far.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we consider more generally $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}(n), \mathrm{PSL}(n), \mathrm{GL}(n), \mathrm{PGL}(n)\}$ for arbitrary $n \geq 2$.

2.1. **Maximal compact subgroups.** Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ and v be a place of F. If v is non-archimedean, let $\mathcal{O}_{F_v} \subseteq F_v$ denote the ring of integers in F_v. Let

$$\mathbf{K}_v^F = \mathbf{K}_{F_v} = \begin{cases} G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) & \text{if } v \text{ is non-archimedean,} \\ \mathrm{O}(n) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{GL}(n) \text{ and } v = \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathrm{U}(n) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{GL}(n) \text{ and } v = \mathbb{C}, \\ \mathrm{SO}(n) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SL}(n) \text{ and } v = \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathrm{SU}(n) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SL}(n) \text{ and } v = \mathbb{C} \end{cases}$$

be the usual maximal compact subgroups in $G(F_v)$. For $\mathrm{PSL}(n)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}(n)$ we define the maximal compact subgroups at the archimedean places similarly, replacing $\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$, $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, and $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ by $\mathrm{PO}(n)$, $\mathrm{PU}(n)$, $\mathrm{PSO}(n)$, and $\mathrm{PSU}(n)$, respectively. We further write $\mathbf{K}_f^F = \prod_{v<\infty} \mathbf{K}_v^F \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$, $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}} = \mathbf{K}_\infty^F = \prod_{v|\infty} \mathbf{K}_v^F \subseteq G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$, and $\mathbf{K}^F = \mathbf{K}_\infty^F \cdot \mathbf{K}_f^F$. Note that the group $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$ does indeed not depend on F but only on the signature $\underline{r}$.

2.2. **Measures.** We need to define measures on $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and its subgroups in such a way that they are compatible when F varies in $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$. More precisely, the measure on the symmetric space $G(F_\infty)/\mathbf{K}_\infty^F = G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})/\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$ is the same for every F. Taking the quotient of this measures by the counting measures, we obtain a measure on $\Gamma\backslash G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})/\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$ for any lattice $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})$, so in particular for $\Gamma = G(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The choice of measures on $G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$ and its subgroups gives a quotient measure on $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1/\mathbf{K}^F$. Since $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1/\mathbf{K}^F$ equals a finite disjoint union $\bigsqcup \Gamma_F\backslash G(F_\infty)/\mathbf{K}_\infty^F$ for a finite collection of suitable lattices $\Gamma_i \subset G(F_\infty)$, we need to make sure that they are compatible in the above sense.

Let E be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_v$ for some place $v \leq \infty$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ (with $\mathbb{Q}_v := \mathbb{R}$ if $v = \infty$). If E is non-archimedean, let $\mathcal{O}_E$ denote the ring of integers in E. In that case we normalize the Haar measures on E and $E^\times$ such that $\mathcal{O}_E$ and $\mathcal{O}_E^\times$ both have measure $\mathbb{N}(\partial_E)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\partial_E \subseteq \mathcal{O}_E$ denotes the regulator of E. If E is archimedean, we take the usual Lebesgue measure $d_E x$ on E and $d_E x/|x|_E$ on $E^\times$. Note that $|x|_{\mathbb{C}} = x\bar{x}$ if $E = \mathbb{C}$.

On the previously fixed maximal compact subgroups $\mathbf{K}_E$ we normalize the Haar measure such that it gives $\mathbf{K}_E$ measure 1.

Now let F be an arbitrary number field of degree $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ and absolute discriminant D_F. We take the product measures $dx = \prod_v dx_v$ and $d^\times x = \prod_v d^\times x_v$ on $\mathbb{A}_F$ and $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$, respectively. Using the identification $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \ni t \mapsto (t^{1/d}, \ldots, t^{1/d}, 1, \ldots) \in F_\infty^\times \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F^\times$, we get an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_F^\times \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{A}_F^1$ that also fixes a measure $d^\times b$ on $\mathbb{A}_F^1$ via $d^\times x = d^\times b \frac{dt}{t}$ for $d^\times x$ the previously defined measure on $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$ and $\frac{dt}{t} = d^\times t$ the usual multiplicative Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. With this choice of measures we get

$$\mathrm{vol}(F\backslash\mathbb{A}_F) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1) = \operatorname*{res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s)$$

where $\zeta_F(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function of F (cf. [Lan94, Chapter XIV, §7, Proposition 9]). This also fixes measures on the diagonal torus $T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)$, $T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$, $T_0(F_v)$ (by identifying these groups with appropriate multiplicative groups via their

coordinates), and the group of upper triangular unipotent matrices $U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $U_0(F_v)$ as well as on $U(\mathbb{A}_F)$, $U(F_v)$ for U a unipotent radical of a standard parabolic subgroup (by identifying these groups with some affine space via the coordinates again).

The measure on $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is then normalized by using Iwasawa decomposition $G(\mathbb{A}_F) = U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)\mathbf{K}^F = T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)\mathbf{K}^F$: For any integrable function $f : G(\mathbb{A}_F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(g)dg &= \int_{\mathbf{K}^F}\int_{T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)}\int_{U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} \delta_0(m)^{-1} f(umk)du\,dm\,dk \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{K}^F}\int_{T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)}\int_{U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(muk)du\,dm\,dk\end{aligned}$$

(similarly for the groups $G(F_v) = U_0(F_v)T_0(F_v)\mathbf{K}_v^F$, $\mathbf{K}_v^F := \mathbf{K}_{F_v}$, over the local fields), where $\delta_0 = \delta_{P_0}$ is the modulus function for the adjoint action of T_0 on U_0. If $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$, we define a measure on $G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$ via the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1 \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_F) \xrightarrow{g\mapsto|\det g|_{\mathbb{A}_F}} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \longrightarrow 1.$$

With these measures we get

(7)

$$\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1) = \begin{cases} D_F^{1/2}\zeta_F(2)\,\mathrm{res}_{s=1}\,\zeta_F(s) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{GL}(2), \\ D_F^{1/2}\zeta_F(2) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SL}(2),\ \mathrm{PSL}(2) \text{ or } \mathrm{PGL}(2).\end{cases}$$

To normalize measures on the adelic quotient we use the counting measure on the discrete groups $G(F)$.

2.3. **Sufficiently regular truncation parameter.** Let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the Lie algebra of $T_0(\mathbb{R}) \cap G(\mathbb{R})^1$ and $A_0^G = \exp(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq G(\mathbb{R}^r)^1$. We identify $\mathfrak{a}$ with the set of real diagonal matrices of trace 0. Further, let Δ_0 denote the usual set of simple roots for (T_0, G), and let $\widehat{\Delta}_0$ be the corresponding set of coroots.

We recall what it means for an element $T \in \mathfrak{a}$ to be sufficiently regular. In [Art78, Art85] T is called sufficiently (or suitably) regular if T is contained in the positive Weyl chamber, and if certain other conditions hold. As explained in [Mat15, §7] there exists $\rho > 0$ only depending on the degree of F over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that every T with

$$d(T) := \min_{\alpha\in\Delta_0} \alpha(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$$

is sufficiently regular in Arthur's sense. We shall fix such a ρ from now on. The space $\mathfrak{a}$ is naturally embedded in the trace 0 subspace of the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The Killing form then defines a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{a}$.

2.4. **Regulators and the class number formula.** We recall some facts about regulators and residues of Dedekind ζ-functions which will be used repeatedly later on. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$, and let $\zeta_F(s)$ denote the Dedekind ζ-function associated with F. Then $\zeta_F(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue

$$\operatorname*{res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s) = 2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2}\frac{h_F R_F}{w_F D_F^{1/2}}$$

where

- h_F is the class number of F,
- R_F is the regulator of F, and

- w_F is the number of roots of unity contained in F.

By [Lav70] the residue is bounded from above by

$$\operatorname*{res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s) \leq (\log D_F)^{d-1} \tag{8}$$

for every F with $D_F \geq 5$.

By [Zim80] there exists an absolute constant $R_0 > 0$ such that for all number fields F we have

$$R_F \geq R_0. \tag{9}$$

Combining this with (8) we get an upper bound for the class number: There exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on $\underline{r}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have

$$h_F \leq c D_F^{1/2} (\log D_F)^{d-1}. \tag{10}$$

For later purposes we record the following consequences of above estimates and our normalization of measures:

Lemma 8. *If $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ or $\mathrm{GL}(2)$, then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)\,\mathrm{vol}(F\backslash \mathbb{A}_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq \zeta(2d)^{-d} D_F^{-1/2} (\log D_F)^{d-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)\,\mathrm{vol}(F\backslash \mathbb{A}_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq \zeta(2d)^{-d} D_F^{-1} (\log D_F)^{d-1}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ with $D_F \geq 5$.

Proof. For $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ as well as $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1\,\mathrm{vol}(F\backslash \mathbb{A}_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} = \frac{\operatorname{res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s)}{D_F^{1/2} \zeta_F(2)}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1\,\mathrm{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} = \frac{\operatorname{res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s)}{D_F \zeta_F(2)}.$$

Since $\zeta_F(2) \geq \zeta(2d)^d$ and $\operatorname{res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s) \leq (\log D_F)^{d-1}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ with $D_F \geq 5$ the assertions follow. □

3. Auxiliary results on contributing elements

From now on until the end of Section 6 we consider only the groups $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$ or $G = \mathrm{SL}(n)$.

3.1. **Test functions.** We fix $f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^1)$. For the proof of the geometric limit multiplicity property we may and shall assume that $f_\infty \geq 0$, and also that f_∞ is conjugation invariant by every element in $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$. Let $R \geq 1$ be such that the support of f_∞ is contained in

$$B_R^{\underline{r}} := \{g = (g_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}) \mid \forall\, i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\} :\ \|g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\|_{\underline{r}} \leq R\},$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker-δ in the ring $\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$, i.e., $\delta_{ii} = (1,\dots,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ and $\delta_{ij} = (0,\dots,0)$ for $i \neq j$. For $v = (v_1,\dots,v_{r_1}, v_{r_1+1},\dots,v_{r_1+r_2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$,

$$\|v\|_{\underline{r}} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} v_i^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{r_2} v_{r_1+i}\overline{v_{r_1+i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $\overline{v_{r_1+i}}$ the complex conjugate of v_{r_1+i}.

For each $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we then define a test function f_F by

$$f_F = f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F} \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1).$$

3.2. **Geometric equivalence classes.** Recall the definition of the geometric equivalence classes $\mathfrak{o} \subseteq G(F)$ from [Art05, §10]: Two elements $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G(F)$ lie in the same class $\mathfrak{o}$ if and only if the two semisimple parts $\gamma_{1,s}$, $\gamma_{2,s}$ of γ_1 and γ_2 in their Jordan decomposition are conjugate in $G(F)$.

In our case we can formulate this in terms of characteristic polynomials of semisimple conjugacy classes: There is a canonical map from the set of equivalence classes $\mathcal{O}^{G(F)} = \{\mathfrak{o}\}$ in $G(F)$ to the set of

- monic polynomials of degree n with coefficients in F and non-zero constant term if $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$, and
- monic polynomials of degree n with coefficients in F and constant term equal to 1 if $G = \mathrm{SL}(n)$,

by mapping the semisimple conjugacy class attached to $\mathfrak{o}$ to its characteristic polynomial $\chi_\mathfrak{o}$. This map is a bijection if $G = \mathrm{GL}(n)$.

Proposition 9. *There exist finitely many polynomials $\chi_1(X), \dots, \chi_s(X) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}[X]$ (with $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$) such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have*

$$j_{G-Z}^{F,T}(f_F) = \int_{G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} F(x,T) \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma(F)\backslash Z(F)} f_F(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx \tag{11}$$

for all T with $d(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$, where

$$\Sigma(F) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathcal{O}^{G(F)}: \\ \chi_\mathfrak{o} \in \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s\}}} \mathfrak{o} \tag{12}$$

is the union over all geometric equivalence classes in $G(F)$ whose characteristic polynomial equals one of $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s$.

Remark 10. By our choice of test function, only elements in $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathcal{O}^{G(F)}$, for which the coefficients of $\chi_\mathfrak{o}(X)$ are algebraic integers, can contribute non-trivially to the above sum-integral in (11). In particular, we can always assume that the characteristic polynomial of any occurring γ has $\mathcal{O}_F$-integral coefficients.

Lemma 11. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on d such that for every number field $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$ of degree $d_K \leq d$ and signature $\underline{r}^K$, and every $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $K = \mathbb{Q}(x)$ we have*

$$\|x\|_{\underline{r}^K} \geq c \max_{\mathbb{Q} \subsetneq E \subseteq K} D_E^{4/d^3},$$

where the maximum is taken over all primitive number fields $\neq \mathbb{Q}$ contained in K. (We recall that a number field is primitive if it does not contain any non-trivial subfield.)

Proof. Let K be as in the lemma and $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ an element generating K over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $E \subseteq K$, $E \neq \mathbb{Q}$, be any primitive subfield, and let $m = [K : E] = d_K/d_E$. Then $K = E(x)$ and the characteristic polynomial $\chi(X)$ of x over E has degree m. Let $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be the roots of χ in K. Since x generates K over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $E \neq \mathbb{Q}$, one of the coefficients of χ has to be an element in $\mathcal{O}_E \backslash \mathbb{Z}$. Let s denote

the elementary symmetric polynomial in the roots of χ which corresponds to this coefficient so that

$$s(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{O}_E \backslash \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq m$ be the degree of s. Then by an elementary calculation one gets

$$\|s(x_1, \dots, x_m)\|_{\underline{r}^E}^2 \leq c_1 \|x\|_{\underline{r}^K}^k$$

for $c_1 > 0$ some constant depending only on d_K, and also

$$\|\frac{1}{d_E} \operatorname{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} s(x_1, \dots, x_m)\|_{\underline{r}^E}^2 \leq c_2 \|s(x_1, \dots, x_m)\|_{\underline{r}^E}^2 \leq c_1 c_2 \|x\|_{\underline{r}^K}^k$$

for $c_2 > 0$ another constant depending only on d_K. Hence for some $c_3 > 0$ depending only on d we have

$$\|x\|_{\underline{r}^K}^2 \geq c_3 Q_E(s(x_1, \dots, x_m))^{4/k}$$

and $s(x_1, \dots, x_m) \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_E^0 = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_E \mid \operatorname{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} a = 0\}$, and let $\underline{r}^E$ be the signature of E. Let Q_E denote the quadratic form $Q_E(x) = \|x - \frac{1}{d_E} \operatorname{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} x\|_{\underline{r}^E}^2$. By [EV05, Lemma 3.1] there exists $c_4 > 0$ depending only on d_E such

$$Q_E(a) \geq c_4 D_E^{2/(d_E(d_E-1))} \geq c_5 D_E^{2/d_E^2}$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{O}_E^0 \backslash \{0\}$. Now if $a \in \mathcal{O}_E \backslash \mathbb{Z}$ is arbitrary, $d_E(a - \frac{1}{d_E} \operatorname{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} a) \in \mathcal{O}_E^0 \backslash \{0\}$ so that for x as above

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\|_{\underline{r}^K} \geq c_3^{-1} Q_E(s(x_1, \dots, x_m))^{2/k} = c_3^{-1} d_E^{-4/k} Q_E(d_E s(x_1, \dots, x_m))^{2/k} &\geq c_6 D_E^{\frac{4}{d_E^2 k}} \\ &\geq c_6 D_E^{\frac{4}{d^3}}\end{aligned}$$

for $c_6 > 0$ some constant depending only on d as asserted. □

Proof of Proposition 9. Let $\Xi_F : G(\mathbb{A}_F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^n$ be the map associating with $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$, the tuple $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 X + a_0$ of γ. The image of

$$\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in G(F)} \{g^{-1}\gamma g \mid g \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1\} \right) \cap \operatorname{supp} f_F$$

under Ξ_F must be contained in

$$\left(F \cap B_{NR^n}^{\underline{r}} \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}\right)^n = \left(\mathcal{O}_F \cap B_{NR^n}^{\underline{r}}\right)^n$$

for some constant N depending on n. We claim that there exists a discrete subset $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$ such that for all fields $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have

$$\left(\mathcal{O}_F \cap B_{NR^n}^{\underline{r}}\right)^n = \left(\Lambda \cap B_{NR^n}^{\underline{r}}\right)^n. \tag{13}$$

To prove this claim define for $D_0 > 0$ (D_0 will be determined later) the following objects:

- $\mathcal{E}_{D_0}^0$ denote the set of all primitive fields occurring as subfields of elements in $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ with absolute discriminant $\leq D_0$ (including $\mathbb{Q}$). Here a primitive field is a number field with no non-trivial subfields.
- $\mathcal{E}_{D_0}$ denote the set of all subfields of $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ which are composites of elements of $\mathcal{E}_{D_0}^0$.

$\mathcal{E}_{D_0}^0$ and $\mathcal{E}_{D_0}$ are both finite sets and $\mathcal{E}_{D_0}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{D_0}$. For every $E \in \mathcal{E}_{D_0}$ the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_E$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$, and we have an embedding $E_\infty = E \otimes \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$. We claim that we can choose D_0 such that (13) holds with

$$\Lambda := \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{D_0}} \mathcal{O}_E.$$

For this we use Lemma 11 and the notation therein: Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_F \backslash (\Lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_F)$, and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(x) \subseteq F$ be the subfield of F generated by x. Since $x \notin \Lambda$ there exist a primitive subfield $E \subseteq K$ of absolute discriminant $D_E > D_0$. By Lemma 11 there exists $c > 0$ depending only on d such that

$$\|x\|_{\underline{r}^F} = [F : K] \|x\|_{\underline{r}^K} \geq c D_E^{4/d^3}.$$

Now suppose that also $x \in B^{\underline{r}}_{NR^n}$. Then

$$NR^n \geq \|x\|_{\underline{r}} \geq c D_E^{4/d^3} > c D_0^{4/d^3}.$$

Choosing any $D_0 > \left(\frac{NR^n}{c}\right)^{d^3/4}$ leads to a contradiction, hence proving our claim that

$$(\mathcal{O}_F \backslash (\Lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_F)) \cap B^{\underline{r}}_{NR^n}$$

is empty for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$.

Since the set Λ^n is discrete in $(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})^n$, the set

$$\left(\Lambda \cap B^{\underline{r}}_{NR^n}\right)^n$$

is finite. Writing $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s$ for the degree n polynomials corresponding to these points, the proposition follows. □

From now we fix $\Sigma_0 := \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s\}$ as in Proposition 9 from now on and let $\Sigma(F)$ be defined as in (12). For each $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we define three disjoint subsets of Σ_0, and divide $\Sigma(F)$ accordingly:

- $\Sigma_0(F)_{\text{reg.ell.}}$ is the set of those elements in Σ_0 which have coefficients in F, and which are irreducible over F
- $\Sigma_0(F)_{\text{reg.split}}$ is the set of those elements in Σ_0 which have coefficients in F, and which split over F into two distinct linear factors.
- $\Sigma_0(F)_{\text{unip}}$ is the set of those elements in Σ_0 which have coefficients in F, and which are the square of a linear factor. We also write $\Sigma_{0,\text{unip}}$ for the set of all elements in Σ_0 which are the square of a linear factor. This set does not depend on F, and $\Sigma_0(F)_{\text{unip}}$ equals the subset of $\Sigma_{0,\text{unip}}$ consisting of all elements with coefficients in F.

In each of the three cases, we define $\Sigma(F)_* \subseteq \Sigma(F)$ to be is the union over all equivalence classes in $\mathcal{O}^{G(F)}$ whose characteristic polynomial is contained in $\Sigma_0(F)_*$. In particular, all central elements of $\Sigma(F)$ are contained in $\Sigma(F)_{\text{unip}}$ so that we can write

$$\Sigma(F) \backslash Z(F) = \Sigma(F)_{\text{reg.ell.}} \sqcup \Sigma(F)_{\text{reg.split}} \sqcup (\Sigma(F)_{\text{unip}} \backslash Z(F)).$$

We decompose the sum-integral $j^{F,T}_{G-Z}(f_F)$ in (11) according to this decomposition of $\Sigma(F) \backslash Z(F)$ as

$$j^{F,T}_{G-Z}(f_F) =: j^{F,T}_{\text{reg.ell.}}(f_F) + j^{F,T}_{\text{reg.split}}(f_F) + j^{F,T}_{\text{unip}-Z}(f_F), \tag{14}$$

and treat each of the summands separately.

4. The regular elliptic contribution

We start with bounding the regular elliptic contribution in (14). Without loss of generality we assume from now on that the test function is non-negative, that is, $f_F \geq 0$. We have

$$j^{F,T}_{\text{reg.ell.}}(f_F) \leq \int_{G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma(F)_{\text{reg.ell.}}} f_F(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx,$$

since $0 \leq F(x,T) \leq 1$, and the right hand side converges. Hence

$$j^{F,T}_{\text{reg.ell.}}(f_F) \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_0(F)_{\text{reg.ell.}}} \mathrm{vol}(G_\gamma(F)\backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)^1) \int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)} f_F(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx,$$

where $\Sigma_0(F)_{\text{reg.ell.}}$ is identified with a set of representatives of elements $\gamma \in G(F)$ for the polynomials in $\Sigma_0(F)_{\text{reg.ell.}}$. Each of the orbital integrals can be factorized as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)} f_F(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx \\ &\qquad = \int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})\backslash G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})} f_\infty(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx \cdot \prod_{v<\infty} \int_{G_\gamma(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx\end{aligned}$$

with the product running over all non-archimedean places v of F. Note that the archimedean orbital integral can take only finitely many values independently of $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$.

We keep our assumption that the characteristic polynomial of γ has coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_F$.

Lemma 12. *There is a constant $\eta > 0$ independent of F such that for every $\gamma \in \Sigma_0(F)_{reg.ell}$ and every non-archimedean place v of F the following holds: Let E_v/F_v denote the F_v-algebra $F_v \times F_v$ if γ splits over F_v, and let E_v be the quadratic splitting field of γ over F_v if γ is non-split over F_v. Let $\kappa_v = 2$ if E_v is a ramified quadratic field extension of F_v, and $\kappa_v = 1$ in all other cases. Then:*

(i) If $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{GL}(\mathbf{2})$: For every non-archimedean place v of F we have

$$\int_{G_\gamma(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx \leq \kappa_v \Delta_v(\gamma)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})\,\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times)^2}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{E_v}^\times)}$$

where

$$\Delta_v(\gamma) = |(\mathrm{tr}\,\gamma)^2 - 4\det\gamma|_v$$

is the v-adic valuation of the discriminant of γ. In particular,

$$\int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\, dx \leq 2^{d\pi(D_F)} \Delta_{\underline{r}}(\gamma) \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})\,\mathrm{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}^\times)^2}{\mathrm{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}}^\times)}$$

for $\Delta_{\underline{r}}(\gamma) = |(\mathrm{tr}\,\gamma)^2 - 4\det\gamma|_{\underline{r}}$, $F(\gamma)$ the quadratic splitting field of γ over F, and $\pi(D_F)$ the number of prime numbers dividing D_F.

(ii) If $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{SL}(\mathbf{2})$: Define a norm $\mathcal{N} : E_v^\times \longrightarrow F_v^\times$ by $x \mapsto x_1x_2$ if $x = (x_1, x_2) \in E_v \simeq F_v \times F_v$, and by $x \mapsto x\bar{x}$ if E_v/F_v is a quadratic field

extension and $\bar{\cdot}: E_v \longrightarrow E_v$ *denotes the non-trivial* F_v*-linear involution of* E_v*. Then for every non-archimedean place* v *of* F *we have*

$$\int_{G_\gamma(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx \leq \kappa_v \Delta_v(\gamma)^{-1} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times)}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{E_v}^{(1)})},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{E_v}^{(1)} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{E_v} \mid \mathcal{N}(x) = 1\}$*, and*

$$\int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx \leq 2^{d\pi(D_F)} \Delta_{\underline{r}}(\gamma) \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})\operatorname{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}^\times)}{\operatorname{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}}^{(1)})},$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}}^{(1)} = \prod_{v<\infty} \mathcal{O}_{E_v}^{(1)}$*.*

Proof. We treat the cases $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$ and $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ simultaneously, and comment when differences arise.

If γ splits over F_v, that is, if γ is $G(F_v)$-conjugate to a diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, then

$$\int_{G_\gamma(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx = \int_{U_0(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(u^{-1}\gamma u)\,du = |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v^{-1} \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}).$$

Note that in the split case $\mathcal{O}_{E_v}^\times = \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times \times \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times$, and $\mathcal{O}_{E_v}^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times$. Further, $|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v^{-1} = \Delta_v(\gamma)^{-1/2} \leq \Delta_v(\gamma)^{-1}$ since the characteristic polynomial of γ can be assumed to have integral coefficients (otherwise the integral vanishes as remarked before). This proves the lemma in the split case for $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$ as well as $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$.

If γ is non-split over F_v, $Z(F_v)\backslash G_\gamma(F_v)$ is compact, and we can write

$$\int_{G_\gamma(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx = \operatorname{vol}(Z(F_v)\backslash G_\gamma(F_v))^{-1} \int_{Z(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx.$$

Now $G_\gamma(F_v)$ can be identified with the quadratic splitting field E_v of γ over F_v so that we can compute

$$\operatorname{vol}(Z(F_v)\backslash G_\gamma(F_v))$$
$$= \begin{cases} \operatorname{vol}(F_v^\times \backslash E_v^\times) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times \backslash \mathcal{O}_{E_v}^\times) & \text{if } E_v/F_v \text{ is unramified,} \\ 2\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times \backslash \mathcal{O}_{E_v}^\times) & \text{if } E_v/F_v \text{ is ramified,} \end{cases} & \text{if } G = \mathrm{GL}(2), \\ \operatorname{vol}(\{\pm \mathrm{id}\}\backslash E_v^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{E_v}^{(1)}) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SL}(2). \end{cases}$$

By the computations in [Kot05, §5.9] we have in the ramified as well as unramified case (the quotient of the volume factors before the integral is necessary to obtain the same normalization as in [Kot05])

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{Z(F_v)}(Z(F_v) \cap \mathbf{K}_v^F)}{\operatorname{vol}_{G(F_v)}(\mathbf{K}_v^F)} \int_{Z(F_v)\backslash G(F_v)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx$$
$$\begin{cases} = \frac{q_v^{d_\gamma+1}-1}{q_v-1} + \frac{q_v^{d_\gamma}-1}{q_v-1} & \text{if } E_v/F_v \text{is unramified,} \\ = 2\frac{q_v^{d_\gamma+1}-1}{q_v-1} & \text{if } E_v/F_v \text{is ramified,} \end{cases}$$

where d_γ denotes the F_v-valuation of $(\operatorname{tr}\gamma)^2 - 4\det\gamma$, and $\operatorname{vol}_{Z(F_v)}$ (resp. $\operatorname{vol}_{G(F_v)}$) indicates that the volume is taken with respect to our measure on $Z(F_v)$ (resp.

$G(F_v)$). Since

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{Z(F_v)}(Z(F_v)\cap \mathbf{K}_v^F)}{\mathrm{vol}_{G(F_v)}(\mathbf{K}_v^F)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})\,\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times)^2} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})\,\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times)} & \text{if } G=\mathrm{GL}(2),\\ \frac{2}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})\,\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times)} & \text{if } G=\mathrm{SL}(2), \end{cases}$$

multiplying by the inverse of the volume of $Z(F_v)\backslash G_\gamma(F_v)$ this proves the assertion in the non-split case for $G=\mathrm{GL}(2)$ as well as $G=\mathrm{SL}(2)$. The estimate over all finite places then follows from multiplying all local estimates and the fact that E_v/F_v can only be ramified if $d_\gamma>0$ or if the residue characteristic at v divides D_F. □

Corollary 13. *There exists a constant $c>0$ such that for all $F\in\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have*

$$j_{reg.ell.}^{F,T}(f_F) \le \begin{cases} cD_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log D_F)^{2d} & \text{if } G=\mathrm{GL}(2),\\ c(\log D_F)^{2d} & \text{if } G=\mathrm{SL}(2), \end{cases}$$

for all T with $d(T)\ge \rho\log D_F$.

Proof. We consider the case $G=\mathrm{GL}(2)$ first. We need to estimate from above the volume of the quotient

$$G_\gamma(F)\backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)^1 = F(\gamma)^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^1_{F(\gamma)},$$

where $F(\gamma)$ denotes the quadratic splitting field of γ over F. By our normalization of measures, the volume of this last quotient is

$$\mathrm{vol}(F(\gamma)^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^1_{F(\gamma)}) = \operatorname*{res}_{s=1}\zeta_{F(\gamma)}(s),$$

where $\zeta_{F(\gamma)}(s)$ denotes the Dedekind zeta function for the field $F(\gamma)$. By (8) the residuum can be estimated in terms of the discriminant of $F(\gamma)$ so that we need to compute $D_{F(\gamma)}$. For two fields K_1/K_2 let $\delta_{K_1/K_2}\subseteq\mathcal{O}_{K_1}$ denote the relative different of K_1 over K_2. Then

$$D_{F(\gamma)} = \mathbb{N}_{F(\gamma)/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta_{F(\gamma)/\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{N}_{F(\gamma)/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta_{F(\gamma)/F})D_F^2.$$

Now $F(\gamma)$ is a quadratic extension of F and γ is fixed independently of F so that it is easily seen that $\mathbb{N}_{F(\gamma)/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta_{F(\gamma)/F})$ does not depend on F (only on its degree over $\mathbb{Q}$). Hence using (8) there exists an absolute constant $c>0$ depending only on d, and a constant $c'>0$ depending only on d and γ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}(G_\gamma(F)\backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)^1) \le c(\log D_{F(\gamma)})^{2d-1} \le c'(\log D_F)^{2d-1}. \tag{15}$$

Since $\pi(D_F)\le 2\log D_F$, we can find by Lemma 12 and our normalization of measures constants $c_1,c_2>0$ independent of F such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx &\le c_1\log D_F D_F^{-3/2}D_{F(\gamma)}^{1/2}\\ &= c_1\log D_F D_F^{-1/2}\mathbb{N}_{F(\gamma)/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta_{F(\gamma)/F})^{1/2} \le c_2\log D_F D_F^{-1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Putting (15) and (16) together, taking into account that the archimedean orbital integrals take on values in a finite set (independent of $F\in\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$) and that $|\Sigma_0(F)_{\mathrm{reg.ell}}|\le|\Sigma_0|$, yields the assertion for $G=\mathrm{GL}(2)$.

Now assume that $G=\mathrm{SL}(2)$. In this case

$$G_\gamma(F)\backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)^1 \simeq F(\gamma)^{(1)}\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^{(1)},$$

where $F(\gamma)^{(1)} = \mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}^{(1)}$ denotes the set of norm 1 elements in $F(\gamma)$ for the norm $F(\gamma) \ni x \mapsto x\bar{x} \in F$, with $\bar{x}$ denoting the image of x under the non-trivial F-linear involution on $F(\gamma)$, and $\mathbb{A}_F^{(1)} = F(\gamma)_\infty^{(1)} \times \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}}^{(1)}$ with $F(\gamma)_\infty^{(1)} = \mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{R} \subseteq F(\gamma)_\infty$. The covolume of $F(\gamma)^{(1)}$ in $F(\gamma)_\infty^{(1)}$ equals the relative regulator $R(F(\gamma)/F)$ (cf. [FS99, §1, §3]), and by [FS99, (1.3)] we have $R(F(\gamma)/F) \leq R_{F(\gamma)}/R_F$. Combining this with Lemma 12 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{vol}(G_\gamma(F)\backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)^1) &\int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx \\ &\leq 2^{d\pi(D_F)}\Delta_{\underline{r}}(\gamma)\operatorname{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})\operatorname{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}^\times)\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}^{(1)}\backslash F(\gamma)_\infty^{(1)}) \\ &\leq c\log D_F D_F^{-1}\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F(\gamma)}^{(1)}\backslash F(\gamma)_\infty^{(1)}) \\ &\leq c\log D_F D_F^{-1}\frac{R_{F(\gamma)}}{R_F}\end{aligned}$$

for some $c > 0$ depending only on d and γ (i.e., f_∞). Using (9) and (8) we have

$$R_{F(\gamma)} \leq c_1 D_{F(\gamma)}^{1/2}\operatorname*{res}_{s=1}\zeta_{F(\gamma)}(s) \leq c_2 D_F(\log D_{F(\gamma)})^{2d-1} \leq c_3 D_F(\log D_F)^{2d-1}$$

for $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ suitable constants depending only on d and γ (i.e., f_∞). Summarizing, we obtain

$$\operatorname{vol}(G_\gamma(F)\backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)\int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}_F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)} f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}(x^{-1}\gamma x)\,dx \leq C(\log D_F)^{2d}$$

for $C > 0$ a constant depending only on d and f_∞. This proves the assertion for $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$. □

5. The regular split contribution

In this section we bound the contribution of regular split conjugacy classes in (14). If $\gamma \in \Sigma(F)_{\text{reg.split}}$, then it is conjugate in $G(F)$ to a diagonal element $\operatorname{diag}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G(F)$, $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$. Let $\Sigma_0'(F)_{\text{reg.split}}$ be a set of diagonal representatives for the $G(F)$-conjugacy classes in $\Sigma(F)_{\text{reg.split}}$, that is, for every $\gamma \in \Sigma_0(F)_{\text{reg.split}}$ there is exactly on $\delta \in \Sigma_0'(F)_{\text{reg.split}}$ such that δ and γ are conjugate in $G(F)$. We can write $j_{\text{reg.split}}^{F,T}(f_F)$ as a finite sum of orbital integrals

$$\begin{aligned}j_{\text{reg.split}}^{F,T}(f_F) &= \sum_{\delta\in\Sigma_0'(F)_{\text{reg.split}}}\int_{G_\delta(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)} F(x,T)f_F(x^{-1}\delta x)\,dx \\ &= \sum_{\delta\in\Sigma_0'(F)_{\text{reg.split}}}\int_{U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} f_F(u^{-1}\delta u)\int_{A_G T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} F(tu,T)\,dt\,du,\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds because of $G_\delta(F) = T_0(F)$.

Proposition 14. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ and all T with $d(T) \geq \rho\log D_F$ we have*

$$\frac{j_{reg.split}^{F,T}(f_F)}{\operatorname{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})\operatorname{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq c\varpi(T).$$

Lemma 15. *For any $u = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and any T with $d(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$ we have*

$$\frac{\int_{A_G T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} F(tu,T)\,dt}{\mathrm{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq \delta_G \left(\varpi(T) + \log \|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F}\right) \tag{17}$$

where $\delta_G = 1$ if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ and $\delta_G = 2$ if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$.

Proof. If $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$, the integral on the left hand side of (17) equals

$$\int_{F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^\times} F(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & ax \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), T)\,d^\times a.$$

On the other hand, for $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$, the left hand side of (17) equals

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1) \int_{F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^\times} F(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & ax \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), T)\,d^\times a$$

since $F(\cdot, T)$ is invariant under the center of the group.

Let $w = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ be a representative for the non-trivial Weyl group element of G with respect to T_0. Then $F(g,T) = 1$ implies that

$$\langle \varpi, H_0(g) - T\rangle \leq 0 \text{ and } \langle \varpi, H_0(wg) - T\rangle \leq 0$$

for $\widehat{\Delta}_0 = \{\varpi\}$. Hence $F(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & ax \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), T) = 1$ implies that

$$e^{\varpi(T)} \geq |a|^2_{\mathbb{A}_F} \geq \|(1,x)\|^{-2}_{\mathbb{A}_F} e^{-\varpi(T)}$$

while $F(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & ax \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), T) = 1$ implies that

$$e^{\varpi(T)} \geq |a|_{\mathbb{A}_F} \geq \|(1,x)\|^{-2}_{\mathbb{A}_F} e^{-\varpi(T)}.$$

Here $\|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F}$ is defined as $\|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F} = \prod_v \|(1,x_v)\|_v$ with

$$\|(1,x)\|_v = \begin{cases} \max\{1, |x|_v\} & \text{if } v \text{ is non-archimedean,} \\ \sqrt{1+x^2} & \text{if } v = \mathbb{R}, \\ 1 + x\bar{x} & \text{if } v = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F} \geq 1$ for all x. Hence the left hand side of (17) is bounded from above by

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1) \int_{e^{-\varpi(T)/2}\|(1,x)\|^{-1}_{\mathbb{A}_F}}^{e^{\varpi(T)/2}} d^\times a = \mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1)\left(\varpi(T) + \log\|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F}\right)$$

if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$, and by

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1)^2 \int_{e^{-\varpi(T)}\|(1,x)\|^{-2}_{\mathbb{A}_F}}^{e^{\varpi(T)}} d^\times a = \mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1)^2\left(2\varpi(T) + 2\log\|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F}\right)$$

if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$. □

Proof of Proposition 14. We use the notation from the beginning of this section. After a change of variables $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)x \mapsto x$ we get by Lemma 15 (estimating

$\varpi(T) + \log \|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F}$ trivially by $\varpi(T)(1 + \log \|(1,x)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F})$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)^{-1} j^{F,T}_{\mathrm{reg.split}}(f_F)\\ &\leq 2\varpi(T) \sum_{\delta \in \Sigma_0'(F)_{\mathrm{reg.split}}} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} f_F(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\gamma_1 & x\\ 0 & \gamma_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)) \left(1 + \sum_{v \leq \infty} \Big| \log \|(|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v, |x|_v)\|_v \Big|\right) dx.\end{aligned}$$

For $\delta \in \Sigma_0'(F)_{\mathrm{reg.split}}$ let S_δ be the finite set of places v of F with $|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v \neq 1$ or v archimedean. Let $S_{\delta,f}$ denote the set of all non-archimedean places contained in S_δ. Then for every $v \notin S_\delta$ we have

$$\int_{F_v} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\gamma_1 & x\\ 0 & \gamma_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)) \Big| \log \|(|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v, |x|_v)\|_v \Big| \, dx = 0.$$

If $v \in S_\delta$ is non-archimedean, then

$$\int_{F_v} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\gamma_1 & x\\ 0 & \gamma_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)) \Big| \log \|(|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v, |x|_v)\|_v \Big| \, dx \leq \Big| \log |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v \Big| \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{v \in S_{\delta,f}} \Big| \log |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v \Big| = \Big| \log |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}} \Big| = \log |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_{\underline{r}}$$

since $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

In general, if v is non-archimedean, we have

$$\int_{F_v} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_v^F}(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\gamma_1 & x\\ 0 & \gamma_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)) \, dx = \begin{cases} \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) & \text{if } \gamma_1\gamma_2 = \det \delta \in \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)^2 = (\operatorname{tr}\delta)^2 - 4\det\delta$ so that the terms $|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v$ for v archimedean only depend on the set $\Sigma_0(F)_{\mathrm{reg.split}}$ but not on the diagonal conjugacy class representatives. Hence

$$\frac{j^{F,T}_{\mathrm{reg.split}}(f_F)}{\operatorname{vol}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}) \operatorname{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)}$$

is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned}(18)\quad c\varpi(T) \sum_{\delta \in \Sigma_0'(F)_{\mathrm{reg.split}}} &\big(1 + \log |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_{\underline{r}}\big)\\ &\cdot \int_{U_0(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})} f_\infty(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\gamma_1 & x\\ 0 & \gamma_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)) \left(1 + \sum_{v|\infty} \Big| \log \|(|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|_v, |x|_v)\|_v \Big|\right) dx\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of F and T. Now all appearing quantities in this last sum and integral depend only on the polynomials in $\Sigma_0(F)_{\mathrm{reg.split}}$, that is, only on the polynomials in this set, but not on the specific representatives for the attached conjugacy classes over F. Since $\Sigma_0(F)_{\mathrm{reg.split}}$ is contained in the finite set Σ_0 (which is independent of F), the right hand side in (18) can be bounded by a $C\varpi(T)$ with $C > 0$ an absolute constant. □

6. The unipotent contribution

In this section we bound the final contribution in (14), namely the contribution from elements of the form zu with z central and $u \neq 1$ unipotent. More precisely we have the following:

Proposition 16. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ and all T with $d(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$ we have*

$$\frac{j^{F,T}_{unip-Z}(f_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)\,\mathrm{vol}(F\backslash \mathbb{A}_F)} \leq c\varpi(T).$$

As noted before, the finite set $\Sigma_{0,\mathrm{unip}}$ is independent of F. In particular, there exist finitely many $z_1, \ldots, z_t \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\Sigma_{0,\mathrm{unip}} = \{(X - z_1)^2, \ldots, (X - z_t)^2\}$. Then

$$\Sigma_0(F)_{\mathrm{unip}} = \begin{cases} \{(X-z)^2 \mid z \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} \cap F\} & \text{if } G = \mathrm{GL}(2), \\ \{(X-z)^2 \mid z \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} \cap \{1, -1\}\} & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SL}(2). \end{cases}$$

Accordingly,

$$\Sigma(F)_{\mathrm{unip}} = \{zu(x) \mid z \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} \cap F,\, x \in F\}$$

if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$, where $u(x) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and

$$\Sigma(F)_{\mathrm{unip}} = \{zu(x) \mid z \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} \cap \{1, -1\},\, x \in F\}$$

if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$.

If $x \neq 0$, the centralizer $G_{zu(x)}$ of $zu(x)$ in G equals ZU_0. For $a \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ we set

$$t(a) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{diag}(a, 1) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{GL}(2), \\ \mathrm{diag}(a, a^{-1}) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{SL}(2). \end{cases}$$

Write $\nu(Z) := \mathrm{vol}(Z(F)\backslash Z(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)$. We can then write the contribution from the unipotent but non-central elements as

$$\begin{aligned} &j^{F,T}_{\mathrm{unip}-Z}(f_F) \\ &= \sum_z \int_{T_0(F)A_G\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} \delta_0(t)^{-1} \sum_{x \in F^\times} f_F(zt^{-1}u(x)t) \int_{U_0(F)\backslash U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} F(vt, T)\, dv\, dt \\ &= \sum_z \nu(Z) \int_{F^\times\backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times} \delta_0(t)^{-1} \sum_{x \in F^\times} f_F(zt(a)^{-1}u(x)t(a)) \int_{U_0(F)\backslash U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} F(vt(a), T)\, dv\, d^\times a, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over all $z \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} \cap F$ if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$ and over $z \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} \cap \{1, -1\}$ if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$. Since the sum over the z is finite, we can ignore z in the following and just find an upper bound for

$$\int_{F^\times\backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times} \delta_0(t)^{-1} \sum_{x \in F^\times} f_F(t(a)^{-1}u(x)t(a)) \int_{U_0(F)\backslash U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} F(vt(a), T)\, dv\, d^\times a. \tag{19}$$

Suppose $a = a_\infty a_f \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$, $a_\infty \in F_\infty^\times$, $a_f \in \mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{A}_F \longrightarrow \infty$ be a Schwartz Bruhat function such that $\phi = \phi_\infty \otimes \phi_f$ with $\phi_f : \mathbb{A}_{F,f} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the characteristic function of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F} = \bigotimes_{v<\infty} \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$, and ϕ_∞ a smooth function on $F_\infty = \mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$ with support in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}} \mid \|x\|_{\underline{r}} \leq R\}$. Consider the sum

$$\sum_{x \in F^\times} \phi(ax).$$

We want to find an upper bound for it so that we can apply it to $\phi(x) = f_F(u(x))$. For $\phi(ax)$ to be non-zero we need that $a_f x_f \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}$, that is, $x_f \in a_f^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F} \cap F =: \Lambda'_a$. Λ'_a is a fractional ideal in F and by replacing a by some suitable element in $aF^\times$ we can assume that Λ'_a is an integral ideal in $\mathcal{O}_F$. The norm of this ideal is

$$\mathbb{N}(\Lambda'_a) = |\mathcal{O}_F/\Lambda'_a| = |a_f|_f.$$

Put $\Lambda_a := a_\infty \Lambda'_a$. This is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$. $\|\cdot\|^2_{\underline{r}}$ defines a positive definite quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}$. Let $\lambda_1(\Lambda_a)$ denote the first successive minimum of the lattice Λ_a with respect to the form $\|\cdot\|^2_{\underline{r}}$.

Lemma 17. *For any a as above we have*

$$\lambda_1(\Lambda_a) \geq |a|^{1/d}_{\mathbb{A}_F}.$$

Proof. By the arithmetic geometric mean inequality we have for any $y \in \Lambda_a$, $y \neq 0$ that

$$\|y\|^2_{\underline{r}} \geq |y|^{1/d}_\infty.$$

Write $y = a_\infty y'$ with $y' \in \Lambda'_a$, $y' \neq 0$. Then

$$|y|^{1/d}_\infty = |a_\infty|^{1/d}_\infty |y'|^{1/d}_\infty = |a_\infty|^{1/d}_\infty |y'|^{-1/d}_f \geq |a_\infty|^{1/d}_\infty |a_f|^{1/d}_f = |a|^{1/d}_{\mathbb{A}_F}$$

so that $\lambda_1(\Lambda_a) \geq |a|^{1/d}_{\mathbb{A}_F}$ as claimed. □

Lemma 18. *Let $R > 0$ be fixed. There exist constants $c, C > 0$ depending only on d and R such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ and every $a \in F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ we have*

$$\big|\{X \in \Lambda_a \backslash \{0\} \mid \|X\|_{\underline{r}} \leq R\}\big| \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } |a|_{\mathbb{A}_F} > C, \\ \leq c|a|^{-1}_{\mathbb{A}_F} & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Proof. For the set on the left hand side of (20) to be non-empty, we must have $\lambda_1(\Lambda_a) \leq R^2$. If this is the case, we use [BHW93, Theorem 2.1] to estimate the number of points in this set. We obtain

$$\big|\{X \in \Lambda_a \backslash \{0\} \mid \|X\|_{\underline{r}} \leq R\}\big| \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } \lambda_1(\Lambda_a) > R^2, \\ \leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2}{R^{-2}\lambda_1(\Lambda_a)} + 1 \right\rfloor\right)^d & \text{if } \lambda_1(\Lambda_a) \leq R^2. \end{cases}$$

In any case, this is bounded by

$$(2R)^{2d} \lambda_1(\Lambda_a)^{-d}$$

By Lemma 17 we have $\lambda_1(\Lambda_a) \geq |a|^{1/d}_{\mathbb{A}_F}$. Hence the left hand side of (20) is empty if $|a|_{\mathbb{A}_F} > R^{2d}$, and bounded by $(2R)^{2d}|a|^{-1}_{\mathbb{A}_F}$ in all other cases. □

Corollary 19. *There exist constants $c, C > 0$ depending only on d and f_∞ such that*

$$\sum_{x \in F^\times} f_F(t(b)^{-1}u(x)t(b)) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } |b|^m_{\mathbb{A}_F} < C, \\ \leq c|b|^m_{\mathbb{A}_F} & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

for any $b \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$. Here $m = 2$ if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ and $m = 1$ if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$.

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{x \in F^\times} f_F(t(b)^{-1}u(x)t(b)) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda'_{b^{-1}}} f_\infty(u(b_\infty^{-m}x)).$$

By assumption, f_∞ is supported in the compact set $\{A = (A_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}) \mid \forall i,j : \|A_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\|_{\underline{r}} \leq R\}$, where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker-δ. Hence we can apply Lemma 18 with $a = b^{-2}$ if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ and with $a = b^{-1}$ if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$. □

Note that with m as in the corollary we have $|b|_{\mathbb{A}_F}^m = \delta_0(t(b))$. Therefore this corollary gives a constant $c > 0$ such that (19) is bounded from above by a constant multiple of

$$\int_c^\infty \int_{F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1} \int_{U_0(F)\backslash U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)} F(vt(ab), T)\, dv\, d^\times b\, d^\times a$$

Now, similarly as in the regular split case, the condition $F(vt(ab), T) = 1$ gives upper and lower bounds on s in terms of v. More precisely, writing $v = u(y)$ with $y \in F\backslash\mathbb{A}_F$, we must have

$$e^{\varpi(T)} \geq |ab|_{\mathbb{A}_F}^2 \geq \|(1, (ab)^{-2}y)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F}^{-1} e^{-\varpi(T)}$$

if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$, and

$$e^{\varpi(T)} \geq |ab|_{\mathbb{A}_F} \geq \|(1, (ab)^{-1}y)\|_{\mathbb{A}_F}^{-1} e^{-\varpi(T)}.$$

if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$. In particular, $e^{-\varpi(T)} \leq |ab|_{\mathbb{A}_f}^m \leq e^{\varpi(T)}$ in both cases with m as in above corollary. Hence (19) is bounded from above by a constant multiple of

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1)\,\mathrm{vol}(F\backslash\mathbb{A}_F) \int_c^{e^{\varpi(T)}} d^\times a \leq \mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1)\,\mathrm{vol}(F\backslash\mathbb{A}_F) c_2 \varpi(T)$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$ depending only on d and f_∞. Finally using $\nu(Z)\,\mathrm{vol}(F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1) = \mathrm{vol}(T_0(F)\backslash T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)$ the proof of Proposition 16 is finished.

7. Proof of Lemma 5 and Proposition 4

Proof of Lemma 5. By Proposition 14 and Lemma 8 there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ with $D_F \geq 5$ we have

$$\frac{j_{\mathrm{reg.split}}^{F,T}(f_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq c_1 D_F^{-1} (\log D_F)^{d-1} \varpi(T)$$

for all T with $d(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$.

For the non-central unipotent contribution we similarly find a constant $c_2 > 0$ by Proposition 16 and Lemma 8 such that

$$\frac{j_{\mathrm{unip}-Z}^{F,T}(f_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq c_2 D_F^{-1/2} (\log D_F)^{d-1} \varpi(T).$$

For the contribution from the regular elliptic part consider first $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$: We bound the volume of $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$ from below as follows: By our normalization of measures and the class number formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1) = D_F^{1/2} \operatorname*{res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s)\zeta_F(2) = D_F^{1/2}\zeta_F(2)\frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2} h_F R_F}{w_F D_F^{1/2}} \\ \geq \frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2} h_F R_F}{w_F}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the lower bound for the regulator (9) we can find $c > 0$ depending only on d such that

$$\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1) \geq c.$$

Hence combining with Corollary 13, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have

$$\frac{j^{F,T}_{\text{reg.ell.}}(f_F)}{\text{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq cD_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log D_F)^{2d}$$

for all T with $d(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$. This finishes the proof of estimate (6) for $G = \text{GL}(2)$.

For $G = \text{SL}(2)$ we have

$$\text{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1) = D_F^{\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_F(2) \geq D_F^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Together with Corollary 13 we can again find $c > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have

$$\frac{j^{F,T}_{\text{reg.ell.}}(f_F)}{\text{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq cD_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log D_F)^{2d}.$$

This finishes the proof of the assertion for $G = \text{SL}(2)$.

The definition of $j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}$ then implies the assertion for PSL(2) and PGL(2) as well. □

From now on G is one of the groups SL(2)*,* GL(2)*,* PSL(2)*, or* PGL(2)*.*

Recall that $J^F_{\text{geom}}(f_F)$ as well as $J^F_{\text{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$ are the value at $T = 0$ of certain polynomials $J^{F,T}_{\text{geom}}(f_F)$ and $J^{F,T}_{\text{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$ of degree 1 (see, for example, [Art05, §9]).

The polynomials can be approximated by $j^{F,T}(f_F)$ and $j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F)$ as follows:

Lemma 20. *There exist constants $c_1, c_2, \varepsilon > 0$ depending only on f_∞ and d such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have*

$$\left|J^{F,T}_{\text{geom}}(f_F) - j^{F,T}(f_F)\right| \leq c_1 D_F^{-1} e^{-d(T)/2}\varpi(T)$$

and

$$\left|J^{F,T}_{geom\backslash Z}(f_F) - j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F)\right| \leq c_1 D_F^{-1} e^{-\varepsilon d(T)}\varpi(T)$$

for all T with $d(T) \geq c_2 \log D_F$.

Proof. By [Mat15, Lemma 7.7] there are constants $a_1, a_2 > 0$ depending only on f_∞ and d such that

$$\left|J^{F,T}_{\text{geom}}(f_F) - j^{F,T}(f_F)\right| \leq a_1 D_F^{a_2} e^{-d(T)}\varpi(T)$$

for all T with $d(T) \geq \rho \log D_F$. (In [Mat15, Lemma 7.7] only the case of the unipotent distributions $J^{F,T}_{\text{unip}}$ and $j^{F,T}_{\text{unip}}$ was considered. It is, however, clear from the proof of [Mat15, Lemma 7.7] that the statement of that lemma remains true for $J^{F,T}_{\text{geom}}$ and $j^{F,T}_{\text{geom}}$, cf. also [Art79, Theorem 1] and [Cha02, Proposition 4.4].) Then $D_F^{a_2} e^{-d(T)/2} \leq 1$ for every T with $d(T) \geq 2(a_2 + 1) \log D_F$. Hence

$$\left|J^{F,T}_{\text{geom}}(f_F) - j^{F,T}(f_F)\right| \leq a_1 D_F^{-1} e^{-d(T)/2}\varpi(T),$$

for every T with $d(T) \geq \max\{2(a_2 + 1) \log D_F, \rho \log D_F\}$.

The other inequality follows similarly: Combining the proof of [Mat15, Lemma 7.7] with the proofs of [Art79, Theorem 1] and [Art85, Proposition 4.2] (cf. also [FLM15, Theorem 3.4]) we find $a_1, a_2, \delta, \rho' > 0$ independent of F such that

$$\left|J^{F,T}_{\text{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F) - j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F)\right| \leq a_1 D_F^{a_2} e^{-\delta d(T)}\varpi(T)$$

for every T with $d(T) \geq \max\{\rho \log D_F, \rho' \log D_F\}$. Hence

$$\left| J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F) - j^{F,T}_{G\backslash Z}(f_F) \right| \leq a_1 D_F^{-1} e^{-\delta d(T)/2} \varpi(T)$$

for every T with $d(T) \geq \max\{\rho \log D_F, \rho' \log D_F, 2(a_2+1)\log D_F\}$. □

Proof of Proposition 4. By Lemma 5 and Lemma 20 there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have

$$\frac{J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq C_1 D_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log D_F)^{2d} \varpi(T)$$

for all T with $d(T) \geq C_2 \log D_F$. Recall that $J^F_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$ equals the constant term of the linear polynomial $J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$. We can therefore interpolate an upper bound for $J^F_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$ from the upper bound for $J^{F,T}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)$. There is a constant $a > 0$ such that

$$\frac{J^{F}_{\mathrm{geom}\backslash Z}(f_F)}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \leq a D_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log D_F)^{2d+1}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$. This proves the assertion of the proposition. □

8. Spectral limit multiplicity property

The purpose of this section is to prove the spectral limit multiplicity property in the following sense:

Proposition 21. *Let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$. Let J^F_{spec} denote the spectral side of Arthur's trace formula for G over F, and J^F_{disc} the contribution from the cuspidal spectrum and one-dimensional representations. For every $f_\infty \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}))$ we have*

$$\lim_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}} \frac{J^F_{spec}(f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}) - J^F_{disc}(f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} = 0.$$

To prove this proposition, we write the continuous part of the spectral side as follows: For any $f \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_F))$ we have

$$J^F_{\mathrm{spec}}(f) - J^F_{\mathrm{disc}}(f) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{tr}\left(M(\lambda)^{-1} M'(\lambda) \rho(\lambda, f)\right) d\lambda + \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{tr}\left(M(0)\rho(0,f)\right),$$

see [GJ79]. Here the notation is as follows:

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the Hilbert space completion of the vector space of all smooth functions $\varphi : T_0(F)U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi(ag) = \delta_0(a)^{1/2}\varphi(g)$ for all $a \in A_0$ and $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which are square-integrable over the quotient $T_0(F)U_0(\mathbb{A}_F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Then $\rho(\lambda, \cdot) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ denotes the induced representation given by
$$(\rho(\lambda, g)\varphi)(x) = \varphi(gx) e^{\langle \lambda, H_0(gx) - H_0(x)\rangle}, \quad g \in G(\mathbb{A}_F),\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}.$$
- $M(\lambda)$ denotes the intertwining operator attached to this induced representation $\rho(\lambda, \cdot)$ via Eisenstein series.

$\mathcal{A}$ decomposes as $\widehat{\bigoplus}_{\chi \in \Pi_{\mathrm{disc}}(T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1)} \mathcal{A}_\chi$ where

- $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)$ runs over all discrete representations of $T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$, that is, all pairs of unitary characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\chi_2 = \chi_1^{-1}$.
- $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ denotes the subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ of all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ which transform according to χ, that is, $\varphi(\mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2)g) = \chi_1(t_1)\chi_2(t_2)\varphi(g)$ for all $\mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2) \in T_0(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$.

Let $\rho_\chi(\lambda,\cdot)$ denote the restriction of $\rho(\lambda,\cdot)$ to $\mathcal{A}_\chi$. Since our test function $f_F = f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}$ is $\mathbf{K}_f^F$-invariant, we have $\rho_\chi(\lambda, f_F) = 0$ unless χ_1 and χ_2 are unramified at all finite places.

Lemma 22. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on f_∞ and d such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have*

$$|\operatorname{tr} M(0)\rho(0, f_F)| \leq c h_F \operatorname{vol}_{G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})}(\mathbf{K}_f^F)$$

Proof. $M(0)$ is a unitary operator so that it suffices to estimate

$$|\operatorname{tr} \rho(0, f_F)| \leq \sum_\chi |\operatorname{tr} \rho_\chi(0, f_F)|,$$

where the sum runs over all unramified characters $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_1^{-1})$. The number of unramified characters $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ equals the class number h_F so that the number of χ in the above sum equals h_F. Hence it suffices to estimate each $|\operatorname{tr} \rho_\chi(0, f_F)|$ separately. For a $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$-type $\tau \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}}$ let $\mathcal{A}_\chi^\tau$ denote the τ-isotypic component of $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ so that $\mathcal{A}_\chi = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}}} \mathcal{A}_\chi^\tau$. Let $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}$ denote the $\mathbf{K}_f^F$-fixed vectors in $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}$. Then $\dim \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F} < \infty$, and we can estimate

$$|\operatorname{tr} \rho_\chi(0, f_F)| \leq \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}}} \dim \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F} \|\rho(0, f_F)_{\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}}\|, \leq \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}}} \dim \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F} \|f_F\|_{L^1(G(\mathbb{A}_F))}$$

where $\rho(0, f_F)_{\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}}$ denotes the restriction of $\rho(0, f_F)$ to $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}$, and $\|\rho(0, f_F)_{\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}}\|$ denotes the operator norm. Now

$$\|f_F\|_{L^1(G(\mathbb{A}_F))} = \operatorname{vol}_{G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})}(\mathbf{K}_f^F) \|f_\infty\|_{L^1(G(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}}))},$$

and for fixed f_∞ only finitely many $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$-types can contribute to the above sum, and the set of contributing $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$-types is independent of F. Hence it remains to show that we can estimate the dimension of $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}$ independently of F. As in the proof of [FLM15, Corollary 7.4] we can compute

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F} = \dim \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}^{\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}(\chi_\infty)^\tau \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{F,f}})}^{\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{F,f}})}(\chi_{\mathrm{f}})^{\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{F}}} = \dim \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}^{\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{R}^{\underline{r}})}(\chi_\infty)^\tau \tag{21}$$

since χ is unramified. This last term does only depend on $\underline{r}$ and τ but not on $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 23. *There exists $c > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have*

$$\int_{i\mathbb{R}} \left|\operatorname{tr}\left(M(\lambda)^{-1} M'(\lambda)\rho(\lambda, f_F)\right)\right| d\lambda \leq c h_F \log D_F \operatorname{vol}_{G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})}(\mathbf{K}_f^F)$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 22 we decompose $\mathcal{A}$ according to the pairs of characters χ, and get for every integer $k > 0$ that (cf. [FLM11, §5]):

$$\int_{i\mathbb{R}} \left|\operatorname{tr}\left(M(\lambda)^{-1} M'(\lambda)\rho(\lambda, f_F)\right)\right| d\lambda \leq \sum_\chi \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \left|\operatorname{tr}\left(M(\lambda)^{-1} M'(\lambda)\rho_\chi(\lambda, f_F)\right)\right| d\lambda$$

$$\leq C_k \operatorname{vol}_{G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})}(\mathbf{K}_f^F) \sum_{\chi,\tau} \dim \mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \|M(\chi, \lambda)^{-1} M'(\chi, \lambda)_{|\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}}\| \, (1 + |\lambda|)^{-k} \, d\lambda,$$

where $C_k > 0$ is a constant depending only on k and f_∞, and the sum runs over χ and τ for which the restriction of $\rho(\lambda, f_F)$ to $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau, \mathbf{K}_f^F}$ is non-zero. Here $M(\chi, \lambda)$ denotes the $M(\lambda)$ restricted to $\mathcal{A}_\chi$. Since χ is unramified, we have

$$M(\chi,\lambda)^{-1}M'(\chi,\lambda) = \frac{n'(\chi,\lambda)}{n(\chi,\lambda)}\,\mathrm{id} + R_\infty(\chi,\lambda)^{-1}R'_\infty(\chi,\lambda),$$

where $n(\chi, \lambda)$ is a scalar normalization factor, and $R_\infty(\chi, \lambda)$ denotes the local normalized intertwining operator at ∞. Again, as in the proof of Lemma 22 there are only h_F^2 (resp. h_F) many χ's which may contribute to the above sum if $G = \mathrm{GL}(2)$ (resp. $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$), and the contributing $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}$-types depend only on f_∞ and their number is finite.

By [FLM11, §5] there exist constants $a_k, b_k > 0$ independent of $\tau \in \widehat{\mathbf{K}_{\underline{r}}}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ such that

$$\int_{i\mathbb{R}} \|R(\chi,\lambda)^{-1}R'(\chi,\lambda)_{|\mathcal{A}_\chi^{\tau,\mathbf{K}_f^F}}\|\ (1+|\lambda|)^{-k}\,d\lambda \le a_k(1+\|\tau\|)^{b_k}.$$

Taking into account dimension formula (21) we are left to estimate

$$\int_{i\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{n'(\chi,\lambda)}{n(\chi,\lambda)}\right|\ (1+|\lambda|)^{-k}\,d\lambda.$$

We have

$$n(\chi,\lambda) = \frac{L(1-\lambda,\tilde{\chi_1}\times\chi_2)}{L(1+\lambda,\chi_1\times\tilde{\chi_2})} = \frac{L(1-\lambda,\chi_1^{-1}\chi_2)}{L(1+\lambda,\chi_1\chi_2^{-1})},$$

where $L(s, \chi_1 \times \chi_2)$ is the completed Rankin-Selberg L-function. Hence if $\chi_1^{-1}\chi_2 = 1$, then

$$n(\chi,\lambda) = \frac{\zeta_F^*(1-\lambda)}{\zeta_F^*(1+\lambda)}$$

for $\zeta_F^*(s)$ the completed Dedekind zeta function attached to F. Taking $k = 4$ in above estimates and using Lemma 24 below then finishes the proof of the assertion. □

Lemma 24. *There exist a constant $c > 0$ depending only on d such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ and all unramified unitary characters $\mu : F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{L'(1+it,\mu)}{L(1+it,\mu)}\right|\ (1+|t|)^{-4}\,dt \le c\log D_F.$$

Proof. We follow the arguments in [Mül07, §4, §5]. (At some places we can do a little better in our setting.) Recall that $L(s,\mu) = \prod_v L_v(s,\mu_v)$ with v running over all places of F, and

$$L_v(s,\mu_v) = \begin{cases} (1-\mu(\mathfrak{q}_v)q_v^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } v \text{ is non-archimedean,}\\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) & \text{if } v \text{ is complex,}\\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) & \text{if } v \text{ is real and } \mu_v(-1)=1,\\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+1) & \text{if } v \text{ is real and } \mu_v(-1)=-1,\end{cases}$$

where

$$\Gamma_v(s) = \begin{cases}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2) & \text{if } v=\mathbb{R}\\ 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s) & \text{if } v=\mathbb{C}\end{cases}$$

and $\Gamma(s)$ denotes the usual Γ-function.

Since μ is unitary, $|\mu(\mathfrak{q}_v)| = 1$ for any v so that

$$\left|\frac{L_f'(s,\mu)}{L_f(s,\mu)}\right| \leq \left|\frac{\zeta_F'(\Re(s))}{\zeta_F(\Re(s))}\right| \leq d\left|\frac{\zeta'(\Re(s))}{\zeta(\Re(s))}\right|$$

for any s with $\Re(s) > 1$.

Using Stirling's formula as in the proof of [Mül07, Lemma 4.4] we can find for every $\delta > 0$ a constant $a_\delta > 0$ depending only on $\underline{r}$ and δ such that

$$\left|\frac{L_\infty'(s,\mu)}{L_\infty(s,\mu)}\right| \leq a_\delta(1 + \log|s|)$$

for every s with $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \delta$. Hence for any $\delta > 0$ there is $b_\delta > 0$ independent of F and μ such that

$$\left|\frac{L'(s,\mu)}{L(s,\mu)}\right| \leq b_\delta(1 + \log|s|) \tag{22}$$

for all s with $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \delta$.

Let $\nu(\mu)$ denote the level of μ (see [Mül07, (4.22)] for a definition). There exists an absolute constant $c_2 > 0$ depending only on $\underline{r}$ such that

$$0 \leq \nu(\mu) \leq c_1 D_F N(\mu) = c_1 D_F \tag{23}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ and all μ. Here $N(\mu)$ denotes the absolute norm of the conductor of μ which in our situation is 1.

Arguing as in the proof of [Mül07, Proposition 4.5, Proposition 5.1] and using (22) and (23) we get a constant $C > 0$ depending only on $\underline{r}$ such that for all $T > 1$ we have

$$\int_{-T}^{T}\left|\frac{L'(1+it,\mu)}{L(1+it,\mu)}\right| dt \leq CT(1 + \log T + \log D_F).$$

This implies our claim. □

Proof of Proposition 21. The proposition now easily follows from Lemma 22 and Lemma 23 by bounding the measures of the involved groups similarly as for the geometric side. More precisely, note that $\mathrm{vol}_{G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})}\mathbf{K}_f^F = D_F^{-1}$ so that it follows from the above lemmas that there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on $\underline{r}$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$ we have

$$\left|\frac{J_{\mathrm{spec}}^F(f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F}) - J_{\mathrm{disc}}^F(f_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}_f^F})}{\mathrm{vol}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F))}\right| \leq C\frac{(\log D_F)^d}{D_F\zeta_F(2)} \to 0$$

as F varies over $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{r}}$. □

References

[ABB+17] Miklos Abert, Nicolas Bergeron, Ian Biringer, Tsachik Gelander, Nikolay Nikolov, Jean Raimbault, and Iddo Samet, *On the growth of L^2-invariants for sequences of lattices in Lie groups*, arXiv:1210.2961, to appear in Ann. Math. (2017).

[Art78] James Arthur, *A trace formula for reductive groups. I. Terms associated to classes in $G(\mathbf{Q})$*, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), no. 4, 911–952.

[Art79] ———, *Eisenstein series and the trace formula*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part, vol. 1, 1979, pp. 253–274.

[Art85] ———, *A measure on the unipotent variety*, Canad. J. Math. **37** (1985), no. 6, 1237–1274.

[Art05] ———, *An introduction to the trace formula*, Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 1–263.

[BHW93] Ulrich Betke, Martin Henk, and Jörg M Wills, *Successive-minima-type inequalities*, Discrete & Computational Geometry **9** (1993), no. 1, 165–175.

[Cha02] Pierre-Henri Chaudouard, *La formule des traces pour les algèbres de Lie*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), no. 2, 347–382.

[Del86] Patrick Delorme, *Formules limites et formules asymptotiques pour les multiplicités dans* $L^2(G/\Gamma)$, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), no. 3, 691–731.

[dGW78] David L. de George and Nolan R. Wallach, *Limit formulas for multiplicities in* $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$, Ann. of Math. (2) **107** (1978), no. 1, 133–150.

[DH99] Anton Deitmar and Werner Hoffmann, *On limit multiplicities for spaces of automorphic forms*, Canad. J. Math. **51** (1999), no. 5, 952–976.

[EV05] Jordan S. Ellenberg and Akshay Venkatesh, *Counting extensions of function fields with bounded discriminant and specified Galois group*, Geometric methods in algebra and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 235, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 151–168.

[FL15] Tobias Finis and Erez Lapid, *An approximation principle for congruence subgroups II: Application to the limit multiplicity problem*, arXiv:1504.04795 (2015).

[FLM11] Tobias Finis, Erez Lapid, and Werner Müller, *On the spectral side of Arthur's trace formula—absolute convergence*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 173–195.

[FLM15] ———, *Limit multiplicities for principal congruence subgroups of* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ *and* $\mathrm{SL}(n)$, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **14** (2015), no. 03, 589–638.

[Fra] Mikolaj Fraczyk, *Strong limit multiplicity for arithmetic hyperbolic surfaces and 3-manifolds*, arXiv:1612.05354.

[FS99] Eduardo Friedman and Nils-Peter Skoruppa, *Relative regulators of number fields*, Inventiones mathematicae **135** (1999), no. 1, 115–144.

[GJ79] Stephen Gelbart and Hervé Jacquet, *Forms of* GL(2) *from the analytic point of view*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 213–251.

[Kot05] Robert E. Kottwitz, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups and Lie algebras*, Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 393–522.

[Lan94] Serge Lang, *Algebraic number theory*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 110, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[Lav70] Aleksandr Fedorovich Lavrik, *The siegel-brauer theorem concerning parameters of algebraic number fields*, Mathematical Notes **8** (1970), no. 2, 615–617.

[Mat15] Jasmin Matz, *Bounds for global coefficients in the fine geometric expansion of Arthurs trace formula for* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$, Israel J. of Math. **205** (2015), no. 1, 337–396.

[Mül07] Werner Müller, *Weyl's law for the cuspidal spectrum of* SL_n, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 1, 275–333.

[Rai] Jean Raimbault, *On the convergence of arithmetic orbifolds*, arXiv:1311.5375.

[Sau97] François Sauvageot, *Principe de densité pour les groupes réductifs*, Compositio Mathematica **108** (1997), no. 02, 151–184.

[Sav89] Gordan Savin, *Limit multiplicities of cusp forms*, Invent. Math. **95** (1989), no. 1, 149–159.

[Shi12] Sug Woo Shin, *Automorphic Plancherel density theorem*, Israel J. of Math. **192** (2012), no. 1, 83–120.

[Wal90] Nolan R. Wallach, *Limit multiplicities in* $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$, Cohomology of arithmetic groups and automorphic forms (Luminy-Marseille, 1989), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1447, Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 31–56.

[Zim80] Rainer Zimmert, *Ideale kleiner norm in idealklassen und eine regulatorabschätzung*, Inventiones mathematicae **62** (1980), no. 3, 367–380.

Einstein Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 9190401, Israel

E-mail address: jasmin.matz@mail.huji.ac.il